

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/546,494	04/10/2000	Ulf Ahlfors	6563/54132 (3964-11)	3411	
27498 75	590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			NG, CHRISTINE Y		
P.O. BOX 1050			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA	1 22102		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
		DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		reN
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/546,494	AHLFORS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine Ng	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a I (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in com following time periods: 	llowing replies: (1) an amen Notice of Appeal (with appe opliance with 37 CFR 1.114	dment, affidavit, or other evident all fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acceptant, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to	than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ling date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	DWITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from. (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in core	and the corresponding amount statutory period for reply originall ths after the mailing date of the f	of the fee. The appropriate extension of set in the final Office action; or (2) inal rejection, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 2 The present according to the first spirit ships the spirit			h
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search		because .
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	•	terially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		finally rejected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).	• • ——	separate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	.		1
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

<u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ______.

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Referring to the argument that Henrion et al do not disclose accepting or rejecting streams based on updated counters for a particular stream before said stream enters any queue of the switch (page 16, lines 1-18): Henrion et al disclose in the Figure that each flow C1-C16 is associated with a service grant counter CT1-CT16. The CT counters contains a flow's current credit amount of service grants for guaranteed bandwidth. An active flow can be selected for guaranteed bandwidth share to transmit its next data packet as long as its CT counter has not yet reached zero. Refer to Column 9, line 30 to Column 10, line 6; Column 17, line 46 to Column 18, line 33; Column 19, lines 36-60; and Column 21, line 61 to Column 22, line 4. Although the flows are already in buffers Q1-Q16, the scheduler SEL must utilize the updated counters to determine which queue transmits a packet onto a common link L. The common link L reads on a queue of the switch, since it provides output from the switch.

Referring to the argument that Kilkki et al do not disclose extracting flow identity information from the stream (page 16, line 19 to page 17, line 3): Kilkki et al disclose in Figure 3 that the priority level is computed using the measured bit rate MBR and the nominal bit rate NBR, and the MBR is measured based the connection type (real-time or non-real-time). Therefore, the priority level reads on the flow identity since it distinguishes flows from each other; the priority level will be different depending on whether the connection is real-time or non-real-time. Cells will have different PL's depending on the connection type and MBR. The computed priority level is then used to determine which queue the stream of data will enter. Refer to Column 6, lines 35-44; Column 8, lines 49-65; and Column 13, lines 43-67.